

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,362	1	1/15/2001	Hisashi Kugimoto	CU-2720 RJS	4660
26530	7590	12/02/2003		EXAMII	NER
LADAS &		NI ANZENIJE CIJI	DICUS, TAMRA		
	SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 1200 CAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		, ,	1774	$\overline{}$
				DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	(1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/003,362	KUGIMOTO, HISASHI					
Auvisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit					
•	Tamra L. Dicus	1774					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,15-24 and 34</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme							
10. Other:	, , -(-).	<del></del>					
······							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant seks to narrow the scope of the claims with the proposed amendment. Such limitatinos have not been presented previously.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The 102b and 103 rejections are maintained for reasons of record. Applicant argues that Saitoh does not teach a film with adhesive on one side. The Applicant has not persusively argued. Saitoh teaches this at col. 4, lines 15-55, Figure 11, and col. 5, lines 43-64.

COLLOW WINN

Cipith Allelly